Work Group: Applications Subcommittee

Date	10/18/2006	Time	1:30 – 3:00 P.M.
Facilitator	Miko Pattie	Scribe	Miko Pattie
Location	#314 - Plaza Tower	Call-In #	

Agency / Attendees / Role

CPE	Miko Pattie	Subcommittee Chair
	Charles McGrew	Subcommittee Member
EPSB	Valerie Armstrong	Subcommittee Member
JCPS	Bo Lowrey	Subcommittee Member
KCDHH	John Barnes for Virginia Moore	Subcommittee Member
KCTCS	Alicia Crouch	Subcommittee Member
KDE	Elaine Harrison for Charlotte Chowning	Subcommittee Member
KSU	N'Nandi O. Paskins for Terry Magel	Subcommittee Member
NKU	Bert Brown	Guest
	Michael Providenti	Guest
UK	Rex Stidham	Subcommittee Member
Education	Ann Riggs	ITC Member

Agenda/Decisions

Item:	Introduction of New Member	Decision(s)
1	We have 2 guests from NKU, Bert Brown and Michael Providenti. (They were to be in the Internet2 Applications group and attended this meeting by error.)	

Item:	Guidelines for Inclusion of Applications	Decision(s)
2	The group agreed that the evaluation might be subjective and difficult to give weights. The group discussed and revised guidelines as follows: 1. Meet federal or state mandates 2. Has direct impact on student learning 3. Reduce need for remediation 4. Facilitate student assessment 5. Support student's success in lifelong learning 6. Breadth & depth of impact 7. Facilitate equal access 8. Improve effectiveness of educators 9. Improve administrative processes 10. Make better use of resources through accountability	To be discussed further at our next meeting.
Item:	Testing the Guidelines for Inclusion of Applications	Decision(s)
3	The group then used the Application Matrix items to test if the Guidelines are usable. We tested P16 Data Warehouse, Online Assessment, Mobile Learning, Videoconferencing, and Learning Objects Repository and listed the number of guidelines that support these applications. The test indicates that these guidelines are workable. We might still need to come up with the criteria on what can be inside or outside the bucket as apps have various numbers of guidelines supporting them. The group feels good about these guidelines; more testing need to be done.	To test more applications at our next meeting

Item:	Handouts	Decision(s)
4	1. Applications matrix	

Next Meeting	Agenda Items Suggested and/or Moved Forward
11/1/06	**Items:**
	1. Guiding Principles for Applications To Be Included
	2. Testing More Applications
	3. Applications Matrix

2

KPID = Key Point(s) Influencing Decision *(e.g. timing, funding, access, security issues, MoU or other signatory approval needed, etc.)* will be noted as and if they apply to individual decisions.